

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/757,936	HIGAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Denise Tran	2189	

**All Participants:**

(1) Denise Tran.

(2) Carl Brundidge (Reg. 29,621).

**Date of Interview:** 15 September 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The applicant's representative, mr. Brundidge agreed to amend the claims as stated in the examiner amendment to place the application in a condition for allowance*


  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)